

Synchronous Network Model

Paulo Sérgio Almeida

Distributed Systems Group
Departamento de Informática
Universidade do Minho



Synchronous network system

- Collection of processes at nodes of a directed graph;
- Start with some initial state;
- Can send message to neighbors along edges (channels);
- Can receive messages from neighbors;
- Proceed in lockstep doing rounds;



Notation

- Directed graph $G = (V, E)$;
- $n = |V|$: size of network;
- out_i : outgoing neighbors;
- in_i : incoming neighbors;
- $nbrs_i$: neighbors; under bidirectional edges (undirected graph);
- $distance(i, j)$: length of shortest directed path;
- $diam$: network diameter – maximum $distance(i, j)$ for all i, j ;
- M : message alphabet; $null$ = no message;



Processes

Components of a process $i \in V$:

- $states_i$: set of states (possibly infinite);
- $start_i$: set of possible starting states (non-empty);
- $msgs_i$: message-generating function

$$states_i \times out_i \rightarrow M \cup \{null\}$$

- $trans_i$: state-transition function

$$states_i \times (M \cup \{null\})^{|in_i|} \rightarrow states_i$$



Rounds and execution

- Execution starts with:
 - processes in some start state;
 - channels empty;
- Processes repeat rounds in lockstep, consisting of two steps:
 - 1 apply message-generating function to compute messages to all neighbors; put them in channels;
 - 2 apply state-transition function to state and incoming messages to compute new state; remove messages from channels;
- Model is deterministic; starting states determine all execution;



Halting

- A process halting can be modeled by having *halting states*;
- A process in a halting state:
 - does not send messages;
 - transits to the same state;
- Here we have node-specific halting states; not the system wide halting state of traditional finite-state automata;



Different start times

- It can be useful to have processes start at different times;
- Can be modeled by:
 - adding an extra *environment* node, with edges to normal nodes;
 - environment process sends *wakeup* messages when desired;
 - processes start in *quiescent* states; do not send messages;
 - they change state when receiving some wakeup or other message;



Failures

- Types of failure: process failure and channel failure;
- Process stopping failure:
 - a process can stop somewhere in its execution;
 - can stop after sending a *subset* of the round messages;
- Process Byzantine failure:
 - can send messages in arbitrary ways, not following its specification;
- Channel failures:
 - channels can fail by losing messages (some message placed in a channel in step 1 of a round are cleared before step 2);



Inputs and outputs

- Inputs are just possible values in designated *input variables*;
- Outputs are values in *output variables*:
 - these are write-once variables, recording the first write operation;
 - can be read multiple times;



Executions

- State assignment: assignment of a state to each process;
- Message assignment: assignment of message/*null* to each channel;
- Execution: infinite sequence $C_0, M_1, N_1, C_1, M_2, N_2, C_2, \dots$
 - C_i state assignment after round i ;
 - M_i message assignment; messages sent in round i ;
 - N_i message assignment; messages received in round i ;
 - $M_i \neq N_i$ if there is message loss;
- Executions e and e' are *indistinguishable* to process i , denoted $e \stackrel{i}{\sim} e'$, if i has the same sequence of states, outgoing and incoming messages in e and e' ;
- Executions can also be said to be indistinguishable to process i up to r rounds;



Proof methods

- Invariant assertions:
 - property of the system state that is true in every execution, after every round;
 - can involve the number of completed rounds;
 - can be proven by induction on the number of completed rounds;
- Simulations:
 - correspondence between algorithm A and B ;
 - A produces the same input/output behavior as B ;
 - expressed by an assertion relating states of A and B (when both are started with same inputs and run with same failure pattern);



Complexity measures

- Time complexity:
 - number of rounds until output produced or processes halt;
- Communication complexity:
 - total number of (non *null*) messages sent;
 - eventually also number of bits in messages;
- Time is more important in practice;



Randomization

- It can be useful to allow random choices;
- Model is augmented with *random function*:
 - $rand_i$ is added for each node i ;
 - $rand_i(s)$, for state s , is a probability distribution over *states* $_i$;
- Each round starts now by a random choice of new a state;
- Executions become $C_0, D_1, M_1, N_1, C_1, D_2, M_2, N_2, C_2, \dots$
 - D_r represents state assignment after random choices in round r ;
- In randomized systems, claims become probabilistic;

