Synchronous Network Model

Paulo Sérgio Almeida

Distributed Systems Group Departamento de Informática Universidade do Minho

2007/2008



Synchronous network system

- Collection of processes at nodes of a directed graph;
- Start with some initial state;
- Can send message to neighbors along edges (channels);
- Can receive messages from neighbors;
- Proceed in lockstep doing rounds;



Notation

- Directed graph G = (V, E);
- n = |V|: size of network;
- *out_i*: outgoing neighbors;
- *in_i*: incoming neighbors;
- nbrs_i: neighbors; under bidirectional edges (undirected graph);
- *distance*(*i*, *j*): lenght of shortest directed path;
- diam: network diameter maximum distance(i, j) for all i, j;
- *M*: message alphabet; *null* = no message;



Processes

Components of a process $i \in V$:

- states_i: set of states (possibly infinite);
- start_i: set of possible starting states (non-empty);
- msgs_i: message-generating function

*states*_{*i*} × *out*_{*i*} \rightarrow *M* \cup {*null*}

• trans_i: state-transition function

 $states_i \times (M \cup \{null\})^{|in_i|} \rightarrow states_i$



Rounds and execution

Execution starts with:

- processes in some start state;
- channels empty;
- Processes repeat rounds in lockstep, consisting of two steps:
 - apply message-generating function to compute messages to all neighbors; put them in channels;
 - apply state-transition function to state and incoming messages to compute new state; remove messages from channels;
- Model is deterministic; starting states determine all execution;



Halting

- A process halting can be modeled by having halting states;
- A process in a halting state:
 - does not send messages;
 - transits to the same state;
- Here we have node-specific halting states; not the system wide halting state of traditional finite-state automata;



Different start times

- It can be useful to have processes start at different times;
- Can be modeled by:
 - adding an extra environment node, with edges to normal nodes;
 - environment process sends wakeup messages when desired;
 - processes start in quiescent states; do not send messages;
 - they change state when receiving some wakeup or other message;



Failures

- Types of failure: process failure and channel failure;
- Process stopping failure:
 - a process can stop somewhere in its execution;
 - can stop after sending a *subset* of the messages it was supposed to;
- Process Byzantine failure:
 - can start sending next messages in arbitrary ways, not following its specification;
- Channel failures:
 - channels can fail by losing messages (some message placed in a channel in step 1 of a round are cleared before step 2);



Inputs and outputs

- Inputs are just possible values in designated input variables;
- Outputs are values in *output variables*:
 - these are write-once variables, recording the first write operation;
 - can be read multiple times;



Executions

- State assignment: assignment of a state to each process;
- Message assignment: assignment of a message (or *null*) to each channel;
- Execution: infinite sequence *C*₀, *M*₁, *N*₁, *C*₁, *M*₂, *N*₂, *C*₂,...
 - C_i state assignment after round i;
 - *M_i* message assignment; messages sent in round *i*;
 - N_i message assignment; messages received in round i;
 - $M_i \neq N_i$ if there is message loss;
- Executions *e* and *e'* are *indistinguishable* to process *i*, denoted e ^{*i*}∼ e', if *i* has the same sequence of states, outgoing and incoming messages in *e* and *e'*;
- Executions can also be said to be indistinguishable to process *i* up to *r* rounds.



Proof methods

- Invariant assertions:
 - property of the system state that is true in every execution, after every round;
 - can involve the number of completed rounds;
 - can be proven by induction on the number of completed rounds;
- Simulations:
 - correspondence between algorithm A and B;
 - A produces the same input/output behavior as B;
 - expressed by an assertion relating states of A and B (when both are started with same inputs and run with same failure pattern);



Complexity measures

- Time complexity:
 - number of round until output produced or processes halt;
- Communication complexity:
 - total number of (non null) messages sent;
 - eventually also number of bits in messages;
- Time is more important in practice;



Randomization

- It can be useful to allow random choices;
- Model is augmented with random function:
 - *rand_i* is added for each node *i*;
 - rand_i(s), for state s, is a probability distribution over a subset of states_i;
- Each round starts now by a random choice of new a state;
- Executions become *C*₀, *D*₁, *M*₁, *N*₁, *C*₁, *D*₂, *M*₂, *N*₂, *C*₂,...
 - where *D_r* represents state assignment after random choices in round *r*;
- In randomized systems, claims become probabilistic;

